


The book was found

Inventing The AIDS Virus



Synopsis

An eminent scientist and pioneer in the discovery of retroviruses challenges the widely accepted belief that HIV is the cause of AIDS. Peter H. Duesberg argues that HIV is merely a harmless passenger virus that does not cause AIDS. Sure to spark intense debate, this provocative book offers an original and incisive critique of the rise and fall of HIV.

Book Information

Paperback: 722 pages

Publisher: Regnery Publishing (May 1, 1998)

Language: English

ISBN-10: 0895263998

ISBN-13: 978-0895263995

Product Dimensions: 6 x 1.9 x 9 inches

Shipping Weight: 2.2 pounds (View shipping rates and policies)

Average Customer Review: 4.0 out of 5 starsÂ Â See all reviewsÂ (114 customer reviews)

Best Sellers Rank: #1,026,568 in Books (See Top 100 in Books) #158 inÂ Books > Health, Fitness & Dieting > Diseases & Physical Ailments > AIDS #240612 inÂ Books > Reference

Customer Reviews

First: Don't be put off by the shrill reactions of other "reviewers" here who don't show any evidence that they have actually read the book. It is not clear to me what could be proven by Duesberg or anyone else injecting themselves with the virus, other than they believe that what they say is true. I don't suppose anyone in the scientific community has sacrificed more to maintain his challenge than has Duesberg. Even a cursory comparison of his career history before and after he became a "heretic" will demonstrate this. I don't think injecting himself with HIV would enhance the already clear and overwhelming evidence of his integrity. (Incidentally, while comparing credentials, check out Robert Gallo's. He started all this, after all. He holds the patent on the stuff their testing our blood with. Evaluate HIS integrity.)Second: Don't be scared by the size of the book. It is well written, accessible, and engaging. The science is patiently explained for the general reader without being patronizing or condescending. This is coming from someone who has a paralyzing fear of scientific writing. I read the first hundred pages the day I got it. Plus, the last 300 pages are appendices which ought, in any case, to add credibility to the argument, since they present the actual evidence upon which the argument is based.Third: Keep an open mind. Duesberg is no quack. He is a member of the elite National Academy of Sciences, and before he became a heretic, was on the Nobel prize

fast-track for his discovery of the first cancer gene. Moreover, long before AIDS appeared, Duesberg was an eminently respected retro-virologist.

I picked up this book shortly after it hit the shelves in 1997. I wasn't on the lookout for anything like this; I just happened to be strolling through a bookstore when I ran across it and skimmed through the foreword by Kary Mullis. I devoured the book in a few days. The conclusions--that AIDS is not infectious, that HIV is harmless, and that AIDS drugs do more harm than good--were certainly shocking, but not all that shocking to anyone with even a cursory knowledge of science, in particular medical science. Mistakes get made all the time in medicine. But could a mistake of this scale have been made and then perpetuated by thousands of well-meaning scientists? That's really the question one must answer when considering this book, and one that I set out to answer myself. It's interesting that many of the negative reviews below argue that anyone who spends time hunting down Duesberg's references will see through his charade. It's interesting because when I first encountered this book, I was in graduate school pursuing my philosophy PhD, and upon reading the book, I did exactly what these reviewers suggest everyone should do (it's what any good graduate student does): I buried myself in the stacks at the basement of the UCSD medical school library. For three weeks. And I read. And read. And I found something quite interesting: With a few minor exceptions for misinterpreted evidence, Duesberg was right. The caliber of logic used to establish HIV's connection to AIDS was abysmal. I read countless papers in internationally-respected journals that, had they been submitted to me by one of my undergraduate philosophy students, would have been returned bathed in red ink. The reasoning really was that sloppy.

Silence=Death. That's what the Act Up movement has been screaming for years. Then why the effort to silence Duesberg, who is only asking for scientific review of the "evidence" that HIV causes AIDS? It is the effort to silence Duesberg that actually adds to his credibility, the massive effort to keep his thoughts, questions and ideas from the mass of individuals who might start asking their own questions. Aren't we taught to ask questions of our doctors? Aren't we taught that we have to take responsibility for our own well being? Why is it that Duesberg is considered such a threat to the medical establishment? Who benefits by his silence? Who loses if the truth comes out? From the first chapter: "our leading scientists and policymakers cannot demonstrate that their efforts have saved a single life." Who benefits from testing and "treating" the "high risk" communities who are, more likely, dying from the "treatment" that is *not* saving their lives? "AIDS itself has not yet affected larger numbers of women nor has it entered the heterosexual population outside of drug

addicts: nine out of ten AIDS patients is still male, and more than 95 percent still fall into the same risk categories: homosexual (men), heroin addicts, or, in a few cases, hemophiliacs. All other sexually transmitted diseases are equally distributed between the sexes. AIDS has not exploded into the heterosexual population, as do all other sexually transmitted diseases. Yet, the cry rings out for all pregnant women (especially women of color) to be tested, to receive treatment *even if they are not sick*, to transmit AZT to their unborn children, *to save their lives.

[Download to continue reading...](#)

Living With HIV: The Essential Guide to Managing and Healing HIV & AIDS Symptoms (HIV essentials, AIDS research, HIV research, HIV test, AIDS virus, HIV ... HIV infection, HIV AIDS, AIDS HIV Book 1) Inventing the AIDS Virus Inventing AIDS Virus Infections of Rodents and Lagomorphs: Virus Infections of Vertebrates Series, 1e (Machine Intelligence and Pattern Recognition) Virus Infections of Birds: Virus Infections of Vertebrates Series, 1e Virus Hunting: Aids, Cancer, And The Human Retrovirus: A Story Of Scientific Discovery Virus Hunting: Aids, Cancer, & The Human Retrovirus: A Story Of Scientific Discovery Sande's HIV/AIDS Medicine: Medical Management of AIDS 2013, 2e The Craft of Baking: Cakes, Cookies, and Other Sweets with Ideas for Inventing Your Own Inventing American Broadcasting, 1899-1922 (Johns Hopkins Studies in the History of Technology) Electronics from the Ground Up: Learn by Hacking, Designing, and Inventing DIY Instruments for Amateur Space: Inventing Utility for Your Spacecraft Once It Achieves Orbit Inventing a Better Mousetrap: 200 Years of American History in the Amazing World of Patent Models (Make) Inventing Niagara: Beauty, Power, and Lies Inventing Human Rights: A History Re-Inventing Dentistry: A new vision for building and marketing your dental practice Inventing the Enemy: Essays A Brilliant Solution: Inventing the American Constitution Inventing Chemistry: Herman Boerhaave and the Reform of the Chemical Arts (Synthesis) Discovering : Inventing Solving Problems at the Frontiers of Scientific Knowledge

[Dmca](#)